

# Ontario Looking After Children (OnLAC) 2020 Provincial Report

Written & prepared by: Meagan Miller, OnLAC Research Associate

June 2021

Centre for Research on Educational and  
Community Services CRECS

[crecs.uOttawa.ca](http://crecs.uOttawa.ca)



uOttawa



# Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
Acknowledgements	vi
About This Report	vi
Background Information	1
Demographics: Young People in Care in Ontario	1
Table 1-1: Biological sex and age of young people in living in care who completed a 2020 AAR.	1
Table 1-2: Legal status of young people living in care.	1
Table 1-3: Primary reason(s) for current admission to service.	2
Table 1-4 : Current placement.	2
Demographics: Child Welfare Workers and Caregivers	3
Child Welfare Workers	3
Table 1-5: Basic demographic information about the child welfare worker.	3
Table 1-6: Child welfare worker’s highest level of education in any field (degree, certificate, or diploma).	3
Table 1-7: Child welfare worker’s training in Looking After Children and use of the AAR in supervision.	4
Caregivers	4
Table 1-8: Basic demographic information about the caregiver (for young people aged 0-17 years).	4
Table 1-9: Caregiver’s highest level of education in any field (degree, certificate, or diploma) (for young people aged 0-17 years).	5
Table 1-10: Caregiver’s training in Looking After Children and other caregiver training programs (for young people aged 0-17 years).	5
Placement Stability	6
Table 2-1: Mean and median number of years the young person has been living in the current placement, by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 0-17 years).	6
Table 2-2: Worker-reported continuity of care (for young people aged 0-17 years).	6
Table 2-3: Mean and median number of changes in caregiver the young person has experienced since birth, by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 0-17 years).	7
Table 2-4: General permanency plan (for young people aged 0-17 years).	7
Table 2-5: Young person’s stable relationship with at least one adult over a number of years (for young people aged 6-16+ years).	8
Table 2-6: Frequency of contact between young person and birth/adoptive family.	8

Table 2-7: Frequency of contact between young person and significant caring adults.	8
Quality of Care	9
Table 3-1: Youth-reported placement satisfaction score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).	9
Table 3-2: Young person's understanding of his/her current situation (for young people aged 3-16+ years).	9
Parenting	10
Table 3-3: SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 15: Youth-reported perceived quality of relationship with primary caregiver score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).	10
Table 3-4: Youth-reported positive parenting scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).	10
Table 3-5: Youth-reported inconsistent discipline scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).	11
Table 3-6: Youth-reported poor supervision scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).	11
Table 3-7: Caregiver-reported positive parenting score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 0-9 years).	12
First Nations, Métis, and Inuit Young People	12
Table 3-8: Percentage of FNMI young people in the 2020 OnLAC sample.	12
Table 3-9: Percentage of FNMI young people who report <i>often</i> or <i>yes</i> to having various experiences relating to their culture, by selected placement type.	13
Developmental Asset Profile	16
Table 4-1: External assets by selected placement type and overall.	16
Table 4-2: Internal assets by selected placement type and overall.	17
Table 4-3: SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 14: Total developmental assets by selected placement type and overall.	18
Table 4-4: Percentage of low, medium, and high asset youth by selected placement type and overall.	19
Education	20
Table 5-1: Caregiver-reported academic achievement (for young people aged 6-17 years).	20
Table 5-2: Young person's self-perceived success in school (for young people aged 10-17 years).	20
Table 5-3: SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 16: Worker-rated age-to-grade performance in school (for young people aged 6-17 years).	21
Table 5-4: Estimated number of school changes, other than through natural progression, since entering school by selected placement and overall (for young people aged 6-17 years)	21

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 5-5: Caregiver-reported literacy promoting activities score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 1-2 years).	22
Table 5-6: Caregiver-reported literacy promoting activities score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 3-5 years).	22
Table 5-7: Caregiver-reported literacy promoting activities score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 6-9 years).	23
Table 5-9: Highest level of education completed (for young adults aged 18+ years).	23
Health	24
Table 6-1: Health status by selected placement setting and overall.	24
Table 6-2: Physical difficulties (for young people aged 6-17 years).	25
Table 6-3: Long-term conditions diagnosed by a health professional.	25
Table 6-4: Health services received in the last year.	26
Table 6-5: Soft drug use (tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana, for young people aged 10-18+ years).	26
Table 6-6: Prescription or non-prescription medication use.	26
Ages & Stages Questionnaires®, Third Edition (ASQ-3™)	27
Table 7-1: ASQ-3™ 10 Month Questionnaire for babies aged 9 months, 0 days through 10 months, 30 days.	27
Table 7-2: ASQ-3™ 12 Month Questionnaire for babies aged 11 months, 0 days through 12 months, 30 days.	27
Table 7-3 ASQ-3™ 14 Month Questionnaire for babies aged 13 months, 0 days through 14 months, 30 days.	28
Table 7-4: ASQ-3™ 16 Month Questionnaire for children aged 15 months, 0 days through 16 months, 30 days.	28
Table 7-5: ASQ-3™ 18 Month Questionnaire for children aged 17 months, 0 days through 18 months, 30 days.	28
Table 7-6: ASQ-3™ 20 Month Questionnaire for children aged 19 months, 0 days through 20 months, 30 days.	29
Table 7-7: ASQ-3™ 22 Month Questionnaire for children aged 21 months, 0 days through 22 months, 30 days.	29
Table 7-8: ASQ-3™ 24 Month Questionnaire for children aged 23 months, 0 days through 25 months, 15 days.	29
Table 7-9: ASQ-3™ 27 Month Questionnaire for children aged 25 months, 16 days through 28 months, 15 days.	30
Table 7-10: ASQ-3™ 30 Month Questionnaire for children aged 28 months, 16 days through 31 months, 15 days.	30
Table 7-11: ASQ-3™ 33 Month Questionnaire for children aged 31 months, 16 days through 34 months, 15 days.	30

Table 7-12: ASQ-3™ 36 Month Questionnaire for children aged 34 months, 16 days through 38 months, 30 days.	31
Table 7-13: ASQ-3™ 42 Month Questionnaire for children aged 39 months, 0 days through 44 months, 30 days.	31
Table 7-14: ASQ-3™ 48 Month Questionnaire for children aged 45 months, 0 days through 50 months, 30 days.	31
Table 7-15: ASQ-3™ 54 Month Questionnaire for children aged 51 months, 0 days through 56 months, 30 days.	32
Table 7-16: ASQ-3™ 60 Month Questionnaire for children aged 57 months, 0 days through 66 months, 30 days.	32
Table 7-17: ASQ-3™ Questionnaire summaries by area (for children aged 0-5 years, OnLAC age groups).	33
Emotional Health and Social Development	34
Ages & Stages Questionnaires®: Social-Emotional (ASQ:SE)	34
Table 8-1: ASQ:SE Questionnaire summaries (for children aged 3 to 65 months).	34
Table 8-2: Percentage of children below the ASQ:SE age-appropriate cutoff (social and emotional development appear to be on schedule) by OnLAC age group (for children aged 0-5 years).	34
Table 8-3: Youth-reported positive mental health score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).	35
Table 8-4: Youth-reported Kessler-6 distress scale score by current place of residence and overall (for young adults aged 18+ years).	35
Table 8-5: Youth-reported coping strategies score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 13-17 years).	36
Table 8-6: Youth-reported hope score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 13-17 years).	36
Table 8-7: Caregiver-reported mean Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 6-17 years).	37
Transition to Adulthood: Casey Life Skills	38
Table 9-1: Average Casey Life Skills Permanency scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	38
Table 9-2: Average Casey Life Skills Daily Living scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	38
Table 9-3: Average Casey Life Skills Self Care scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	39
Table 9-4: Average Casey Life Skills Relationships and Communication scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	39
Table 9-5: Average Casey Life Skills Housing and Money Management scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	40

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 9-6: Average Casey Life Skills Work and Study Life scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	40
Table 9-7: Average Casey Life Skills Career and Education Planning scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	41
Table 9-8: Average Casey Life Skills Looking Forward scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	41
Table 9-9: Average Casey Life Skills Total scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).	42
<b>Time to Complete the AAR</b>	<b>43</b>
Table 10-1: Total mean and median time (in hours) to complete the AAR by selected placement setting and overall.	43
Table 10-2: Total mean and median time ( in hours) that the young person participated in completing the AAR, by placement setting and overall (for young people aged 6-16+ years).	44

## Acknowledgements

Since 2000, the Ontario Looking After Children (OnLAC) project has been a partnership between the Centre for Research on Educational and Community Services (CRECS) at the University of Ottawa and the Ontario Association of Children's Aid Societies (OACAS). The OnLAC project began with a research grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC Grant No. 828-1999-1008). Supplementary funding was provided by the Ontario Ministry of Community and Social Services (MCSS). Since 2004, the OnLAC project has operated under contract with OACAS and funding for the project has been provided by the the Ontario Ministry Children, Community and Social Services (MCCSS). We gratefully acknowledge the collaboration and financial support of OACAS and MCCSS.

We also wish to thank the numerous young people in care, caregivers, practitioners, supervisors, quality-assurance staff, executive personnel, and OnLAC research assistants who have contributed much to the OnLAC project since the beginning.

## About This Report

This report is designed for use by child welfare organizations as a performance measurement system. The Assessment and Action Record (AAR) outcome data available in this report should assist child welfare organizations in the development of an internal evaluation program. The AAR findings are intended to help supervisors, program managers, executive directors and board members within child welfare organizations monitor children's outcomes on a regular basis by comparing children's and youths' developmental progress with intended goals. A second intended use of the AAR is to inform decision-makers as to possible means of improving the quality and relevance of services on an ongoing basis.

This report is also designed to provide policy-makers with accurate, up-to-date knowledge of system-wide outcomes thereby fulfilling an accountability function and a guiding function in identifying policies and practices that promote continuous improvements in child welfare policies and practices.

*Note: The AAR findings presented in this report must be reviewed and interpreted carefully by child welfare organizations before new policies and services are implemented.*

A double hyphen (- -) in this report indicates that there was no data collected on a specific variable for a specific group. Clarification is noted, where possible. Percentages are rounded.



Any comments and suggestions about this report should be communicated to Meagan Miller at [mmiller@uottawa.ca](mailto:mmiller@uottawa.ca)



## Background Information

The Background Information section of this report provides basic socio-demographic information on the young people in care who completed a 2020 Assessment and Action Record (AAR), their caregivers, and their child welfare workers.

### Demographics: Young People in Care in Ontario

Table 1-1: Biological sex and age of young people in living in care who completed a 2020 AAR.

<b>Age group</b> <i>Total AAR sample</i>		<b>0-5</b> <i>N = 859</i>	<b>6-9</b> <i>N = 511</i>	<b>10-15</b> <i>N = 1326</i>	<b>16+</b> <i>N = 680</i>
Biological sex	<i>n =</i>	859	511	1326	680
	Male	53.3%	59.9%	55.4%	55.1%
	Female	46.4%	40.1%	44.6%	44.9%
	Intersex	0.2%	0%	0%	0%
Age	<i>n =</i>	859	511	1326	680
	Mean	2.4	7.7	13.0	16.6
	Median	2.0	8.0	13.0	17.0

Table 1-2: Legal status of young people living in care.

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
<i>n =</i>	821	495	1272	655
Temporary care agreement	4.5%	2.4%	2.5%	1.4%
Interim care and custody	23.9%	14.7%	8.2%	3.4%
Interim Society Care	28.3%	22.0%	12.0%	5.3%
Customary care	10.8%	10.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Extended Society Care	31.1%	47.9%	72.2%	83.7%
Other	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%	1.4%
Continued Care and Support for Youth				2.9%

Table 1-3: Primary reason(s) for current admission to service.

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Physical/Sexual Harm by Commission	8.3%	19.0%	20.4%	20.4%
Harm by Omission	27.2%	34.1%	28.4%	19.6%
Emotional Harm	13.0%	27.8%	30.3%	25.7%
Abandonment/Separation	9.1%	11.2%	20.7%	30.6%
Caregiver Capacity	76.4%	66.7%	55.5%	44.1%
Request for Adoption Services	1.2%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%
Family Based Services	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	1.2%
Volunteer Services	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%
Request for Assistance	1.5%	2.3%	4.6%	6.0%
Request for Youth Services	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	1.2%
Request for Counselling	0.1%	0%	0.2%	0.4%

Note: Respondents could select more than one option

Table 1-4 : Current placement.

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
<i>n</i> =	828	503	1283	667
Kinship in care	9.9%	10.1%	11.1%	7.2%
Foster home operated by child welfare organization	64.9%	51.9%	39.6%	30.9%
Group home operated by child welfare organization	0.2%	0.2%	1.3%	2.2%
Foster home – outside placement resource	14.1%	21.5%	26.2%	20.5%
Group home – outside placement resource	0.8%	5.8%	13.9%	16.8%
Customary care	8.8%	8.0%	3.0%	1.0%
Children’s mental health residential facility	0%	0.6%	1.1%	0.1%
Psychiatric facility	0%	0%	0.1%	0%
With birth parent(s)	0%	0.4%	0.9%	1.5%
Adoption probation	0.1%	0%	0%	0%
With relatives				0%
Hospital	0.1%	0%	0%	0%
Whereabouts unknown			0.1%	0.3%
Whereabouts unapproved			0.4%	0.7%
Custody/Detention facility			0.2%	0.3%
Shelter			0%	0.4%
Independent living			0.9%	13.6%
Other	1.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.6%

## Demographics: Child Welfare Workers and Caregivers

### Child Welfare Workers

Table 1-5: Basic demographic information about the child welfare worker.

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Gender	<i>n</i> =	835	498	1286	659
	Male	7.2%	6.6%	11.3%	13.7%
	Female	92.6%	93.4%	88.3%	86.0%
	Non-binary	0%	0%	0%	0.2%
	Trans	0%	0%	0.1%	0%
	Prefer not to answer	0.2%	0%	0.4%	0.2%
Length of time working with this young person	<i>n</i> =	685	480	1254	646
	Mean years	1.2	1.6	2.5	2.8
	Median years	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Length of time working in child welfare	<i>n</i> =	826	495	1286	658
	Mean years	14.3	15.1	16.3	16.5
	Median years	16.0	17.0	17.8	18.0

Table 1-6: Child welfare worker's highest level of education in any field (degree, certificate, or diploma).

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
	<i>n</i> =	840	505	1288	667
Less than a high school diploma		0.2%	0.2%	0%	0.1%
High school diploma		0.7%	1.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Trades certificate – Vocational school – Apprenticeship training		0%	0.4%	0%	0%
Non-university certificate or diploma from a community college, CEGEP, school of nursing, etc.		14.9%	14.9%	11.2%	10.2%
University certificate or diploma below bachelor level		1.4%	1.2%	2.2%	1.3%
Bachelor degree		61.4%	62.6%	59.6%	61.6%
University certificate or diploma above bachelor level		5.1%	6.7%	5.2%	4.0%
Master's degree		16.2%	12.9%	21.7%	22.5%
Doctoral degree		0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 1-7: Child welfare worker's training in Looking After Children and use of the AAR in supervision.

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Child welfare worker has attended formal Looking After Children training	<i>n</i> =	771	465	1184	591
	Yes	78.2%	78.1%	87.7%	87.5%
	No	21.8%	21.9%	12.3%	12.5%
Frequency that information contained in the AAR is discussed in supervision	<i>n</i> =	836	506	1289	664
	Very often	21.7%	26.5%	25.0%	24.5%
	Sometimes	47.8%	54.3%	55.2%	57.8%
	Almost never	10.6%	9.5%	12.8%	11.1%
	Not applicable – first AAR	19.9%	9.7%	7.0%	6.5%

### Caregivers

Table 1-8: Basic demographic information about the caregiver (for young people aged 0-17 years).

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Gender	<i>n</i> =	831	504	1276	570
	Male	4.3%	7.5%	12.9%	14.7%
	Female	95.7%	92.3%	86.7%	84.2%
	Non-binary	0%	0%	0.1%	0%
	Trans	0%	0.2%	0%	0%
	Prefer not to answer	0%	0%	0.3%	1.1%
Length of time providing <i>foster</i> care (for foster placements)	<i>n</i> =	773	448	1028	333
	Mean years	8.9	10.3	11.0	11.7
	Median years	5.0	7.0	9.0	11.0
Language(s) spoken in caregiver's home (Note: respondents could select more than one option)	English	96.2%	97.5%	93.8%	83.8%
	French	6.3%	6.5%	4.3%	3.9%
	First Nations or Inuit language	3.1%	3.7%	1.1%	0.2%
	Other	2.6%	2.2%	1.7%	2.6%
Ethnic/cultural background of caregiver and that of the young person is:	<i>n</i> =	837	499	1261	562
	The same	39.8%	41.5%	43.1%	40.4%
	Similar	43.4%	42.5%	38.1%	37.9%
	Neither the same nor similar	16.8%	16.0%	18.9%	21.7%

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 1-9: Caregiver's highest level of education in any field (degree, certificate, or diploma) (for young people aged 0-17 years).

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
<i>n</i> =	784	472	1197	538
Less than a high school diploma	4.5%	7.0%	3.1%	3.3%
High school diploma	24.9%	22.5%	21.3%	22.5%
Trades certificate – Vocational school – Apprenticeship training	3.6%	4.4%	5.5%	5.0%
Non-university certificate or diploma from a community college, CEGEP, school of nursing, etc.	39.8%	42.2%	42.6%	42.0%
University certificate or diploma below bachelor level	5.6%	6.4%	7.4%	7.4%
Bachelor degree	15.1%	13.1%	14.8%	14.7%
University certificate or diploma above bachelor level	4.0%	1.5%	2.8%	2.4%
Master's degree	2.4%	2.8%	2.5%	2.6%
Doctoral degree	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0%

Table 1-10: Caregiver's training in Looking After Children and other caregiver training programs (for young people aged 0-17 years).

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>	
<i>n</i> =	784	479	1219	545	
Caregiver has attended formal Looking After Children training	Yes	53.3%	53.9%	57.9%	52.3%
	No	46.7%	46.1%	42.1%	47.7%
Caregiver has completed/is currently attending caregiver training ( <i>Note: respondents could select more than one option</i> )	PRIDE*	87.8%	58.9%	50.3%	39.5%
	Agency-specific training	48.2%	49.7%	42.9%	34.9%
	Foster parenting techniques	12.8%	12.1%	13.1%	12.3%
	Other program	30.8%	37.6%	41.6%	36.1%

\*Parenting Resources for Information, Development, and Education program

## Placement Stability

This section illustrates the stability associated with selected placement settings for each age group, and/or overall for each age group.

Table 2-1: Mean and median number of years the young person has been living in the current placement, by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 0-17 years).

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	64	51	136	46
	Mean	1.5	2.3	4.4	5.6
	Median	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.1
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	485	361	809	327
	Mean	1.4	2.1	3.1	3.7
	Median	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	6	29	192	119
	Mean	2.3	1.5	1.7	1.6
	Median	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	69	38	38	7
	Mean	1.7	3.4	4.0	7.0
	Median	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			8	41
	Mean			1.3	1.0
	Median			0.4	0.4
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	644	497	1258	583
	Mean	1.4	2.2	3.0	3.1
	Median	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
	Range	0.0 - 5.1	0.0 - 9.0	0.0 - 15.0	0.0 - 17.0

Table 2-2: Worker-reported continuity of care (for young people aged 0-17 years).

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
<i>n</i> =	831	503	1292	646
Much continuity of care (no placement change in last 12 months)	75.9%	76.7%	69.7%	62.2%
Some disruptions (one change of placement in last 12 months)	18.9%	15.9%	21.1%	22.8%
Serious disruptions (two or more changes of placement in last 12 months)	5.2%	7.4%	9.3%	15.0%

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 2-3: Mean and median number of changes in caregiver the young person has experienced since birth, by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 0-17 years).

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	79	51	139	47
	Mean	1.6	2.8	3.6	3.5
	Median	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	649	362	818	336
	Mean	1.8	3.5	4.2	4.2
	Median	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	9	28	188	117
	Mean	1.7	4.0	5.3	6.0
	Median	1.0	3.0	5.0	5.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	73	38	37	7
	Mean	1.9	2.1	3.2	3.1
	Median	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			9	72
	Mean			6.8	6.9
	Median			7.0	6.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	840	497	1259	626
	Mean	1.8	3.5	4.3	5.0
	Median	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
	Range	0.0 – 11.0	0.0 – 15.0	0.0 – 16.0	0.0 – 23.0

Table 2-4: General permanency plan (for young people aged 0-17 years).

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
	<i>n</i> =	840	497	1277	639
Return home		13.2%	15.9%	10.6%	4.4%
Adoption		46.1%	40.4%	18.2%	2.5%
Kinship		7.4%	4.4%	5.1%	2.7%
Status change to legal custody		1.8%	3.2%	1.4%	0.5%
Customary care		6.8%	7.8%	2.9%	1.4%
Independent living		0%	0.2%	4.3%	39.3%
Adult services		0%	0.8%	8.6%	15.2%
Remain in current placement		7.4%	12.3%	33.0%	28.3%
Other		0.8%	1.4%	2.3%	1.3%
Permanency plan not yet determined		16.5%	15.9%	13.7%	4.5%

Table 2-5: Young person’s stable relationship with at least one adult over a number of years (for young people aged 6-16+ years).

	<b>n =</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Stable relationship throughout life		502	1288	664
		48.8%	49.9%	47.7%
Fairly long-term relationship (i.e., more than three years)		25.1%	31.9%	35.4%
Short-term relationship (i.e., one to three years)		20.3%	16.1%	12.8%
No stable relationship		5.8%	2.1%	4.1%

Table 2-6: Frequency of contact between young person and birth/adoptive family.

	<b>n =</b>	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
At least once a week		836	502	1286	670
		52.8%	48.9%	42.6%	47.0%
At least once a month		21.2%	28.1%	36.6%	33.7%
At least once a year		12.3%	12.0%	12.0%	10.3%
Less than once a year		2.9%	3.2%	3.0%	2.1%
Never		10.9%	8.2%	5.8%	6.9%

Table 2-7: Frequency of contact between young person and significant caring adults.

	<b>n =</b>	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
At least once a week		832	495	1281	663
		68.8%	64.2%	61.4%	60.6%
At least once a month		19.2%	22.4%	28.5%	28.2%
At least once a year		5.0%	5.5%	5.5%	5.0%
Less than once a year		0.5%	1.4%	0.9%	1.5%
Never		6.5%	4.4%	3.7%	4.7%



## Quality of Care

This section illustrates measures of quality of care experienced by young people by selected placement settings for each age group, and/or overall for each age group.

Table 3-1: Youth-reported placement satisfaction score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).

		<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	125	42
	Mean score	11.3	10.7
	Median score	12.0	12.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	735	282
	Mean score	10.8	10.6
	Median score	12.0	12.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	142	88
	Mean score	8.2	8.4
	Median score	9.0	10.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	31	7
	Mean score	10.5	11.3
	Median score	12.0	12.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	6	43
	Mean score	10.7	10.0
	Median score	12.0	12.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	1106	498
	Mean	10.4	10.1
	Median	12.0	12.0
	Possible range	0-12	

Table 3-2: Young person's understanding of his/her current situation (for young people aged 3-16+ years).

		<b>3-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
	<i>n</i> =	342	496	1291	660
Clear understanding		12.6%	27.2%	69.7%	69.7%
Some understanding		47.1%	57.5%	33.6%	26.1%
Little or no understanding		40.4%	15.3%	6.7%	4.2%

## Parenting

Table 3-3: SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 15: Youth-reported perceived quality of relationship with primary caregiver score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).

			10-15	16-17
Kinship in care		<i>n</i> =	118	38
	Mean		7.2	6.7
	Median		8.0	8.0
Foster home		<i>n</i> =	730	284
	Mean		6.9	6.5
	Median		8.0	7.0
Group home		<i>n</i> =	137	90
	Mean		6.0	5.8
	Median		6.0	6.0
Customary care		<i>n</i> =	30	7
	Mean		7.1	7.3
	Median		8.0	8.0
<b>Overall</b>		<i>n</i> =	1035	435
	Mean		6.8	6.4
	Median		8.0	7.0
	Possible range		0-8	

Table 3-4: Youth-reported positive parenting scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).

			10-15	16-17
Kinship in care		<i>n</i> =	129	41
	Mean		10.4	10.4
	Median		11.0	11.0
Foster home		<i>n</i> =	731	280
	Mean		10.3	9.9
	Median		11.0	11.0
Group home		<i>n</i> =	138	90
	Mean		10.3	9.8
	Median		11.0	10.0
Customary care		<i>n</i> =	34	7
	Mean		10.8	11.6
	Median		12.0	12.0
<b>Overall</b>		<i>n</i> =	1055	439
	Mean		10.3	9.9
	Median		11.0	11.0
	Possible range		0-12	

Table 3-5: Youth-reported inconsistent discipline scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).

			<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care		<i>n</i> =	126	40
	Mean		3.4	3.9
	Median		3.0	4.0
Foster home		<i>n</i> =	724	278
	Mean		2.9	2.9
	Median		3.0	2.5
Group home		<i>n</i> =	138	89
	Mean		3.5	2.9
	Median		4.0	3.0
Customary care		<i>n</i> =	34	7
	Mean		2.8	3.6
	Median		2.0	2.0
<b>Overall</b>		<i>n</i> =	1044	434
	Mean		3.1	3.0
	Median		3.0	3.0
	Possible range		0-12	

Table 3-6: Youth-reported poor supervision scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).

			<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care		<i>n</i> =	128	41
	Mean		1.6	1.6
	Median		1.0	1.0
Foster home		<i>n</i> =	723	279
	Mean		1.5	2.3
	Median		0.0	1.0
Group home		<i>n</i> =	138	87
	Mean		1.8	3.2
	Median		0.0	2.0
Customary care		<i>n</i> =	33	7
	Mean		1.5	1.9
	Median		0.0	3.0
<b>Overall</b>		<i>n</i> =	1045	434
	Mean		1.6	2.4
	Median		0.0	1.0
	Possible range		0-12	

Table 3-7: Caregiver-reported positive parenting score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 0-9 years).

			<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>
Kinship in care	Mean Median	<i>n</i> =	76	44
			20.7	17.3
Foster home	Mean Median	<i>n</i> =	626	353
			20.6	18.0
Group home	Mean Median	<i>n</i> =	8	27
			21.5	20.0
Customary care	Mean Median	<i>n</i> =	4	37
			20.1	18.5
<b>Overall</b>	Mean Median	<i>n</i> =	818	482
			20.6	18.1
	Possible range		21.0	18.0

0-24

## First Nations, Métis, and Inuit Young People

While it is essential for those who are providing child welfare services in ethnically diverse communities to consider the unique traditions and heritage of all cultures, the Child, Youth and Family Services Act emphasizes the importance of paying particular attention to the provision of services to FNMI young people. This section illustrates various broad opportunities and experiences of FNMI young people related to their culture.

Table 3-8: Percentage of FNMI young people in the 2020 OnLAC sample.

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
FNMI young people	<i>n</i> =	303	159	220	112
		35.3%	31.1%	16.6%	16.5%

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 3-9: Percentage of FNMI young people who report *often* or *yes* to having various experiences relating to their culture, by selected placement type.

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Kinship in care	Belongs to a clan or have a clan affiliation	23.5%	15.4%	45.8%	16.7%
	Visits or meets with people from his/her FNMI community often	28.1%	15.4%	13.6%	40.0%
	Has a relationship to the land or landmarks in his/her community	29.0%	38.5%	26.1%	0%
	Learns about traditional teachings, customs, or ceremonies often	25.0%	38.5%	20.8%	16.7%
	Participates in his/her FNMI community events, activities, traditional meals/foods, and ceremonies often	15.6%	30.8%	12.5%	0%
	Speaks his/her First Nations or Inuit language often or sometimes	8.6%	15.4%	4.2%	16.7%
	Has a personal connection with an Elder, Healer, and/or Cultural Teacher	28.1%	21.4%	26.1%	16.7%
	Has a native Spirit Name	3.1%	15.4%	16.7%	16.7%
Foster home	Belongs to a clan or have a clan affiliation	9.4%	22.2%	21.0%	11.8%
	Visits or meets with people from his/her FNMI community often	8.1%	12.2%	14.9%	13.9%
	Has a relationship to the land or landmarks in his/her community	16.7%	13.8%	15.7%	14.3%
	Learns about traditional teachings, customs, or ceremonies often	8.8%	19.3%	13.8%	13.9%
	Participates in his/her FNMI community events, activities, traditional meals/foods, and ceremonies often	3.8%	13.6%	4.3%	11.1%
	Speaks his/her First Nations or Inuit language often or sometimes	3.4%	3.7%	0%	5.9%
	Has a personal connection with an Elder, Healer, and/or Cultural Teacher	16.5%	21.0%	27.4%	25.7%
	Has a native Spirit Name	6.8%	9.8%	14.4%	20.6%
<i>continued on next page</i>					

*continued from previous page, Table 3-9.*

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Group home	Belongs to a clan or have a clan affiliation	0%	50.0%	9.1%	11.8%
	Visits or meets with people from his/her FNMI community often	0%	0%	9.5%	0%
	Has a relationship to the land or landmarks in his/her community	0%	50.0%	18.2%	16.7%
	Learns about traditional teachings, customs, or ceremonies often	0%	0%	13.6%	16.7%
	Participates in his/her FNMI community events, activities, traditional meals/foods, and ceremonies often	0%	0%	4.8%	11.1%
	Speaks his/her First Nations or Inuit language often or sometimes	0%	0%	4.0%	5.0%
	Has a personal connection with an Elder, Healer, and/or Cultural Teacher	0%	25.0%	45.5%	38.9%
	Has a native Spirit Name	0%	50.0%	13.6%	27.8%
Customary care	Belongs to a clan or have a clan affiliation	29.4%	24.3%	26.5%	28.6%
	Visits or meets with people from his/her FNMI community often	31.4%	38.5%	40.0%	16.7%
	Has a relationship to the land or landmarks in his/her community	39.7%	39.5%	35.3%	42.9%
	Learns about traditional teachings, customs, or ceremonies often	25.7%	33.3%	40.0%	42.9%
	Participates in his/her FNMI community events, activities, traditional meals/foods, and ceremonies often	25.7%	30.8%	26.5%	16.7%
	Speaks his/her First Nations or Inuit language often or sometimes	22.5%	2.6%	0%	0%
	Has a personal connection with an Elder, Healer, and/or Cultural Teacher	32.4%	43.2%	48.5%	33.3%
	Has a native Spirit Name	27.1%	29.7%	36.4%	42.9%

*continued on next page*

Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

<i>continued from previous page, Table 3-9.</i>		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
<b>Independent living</b>	Belongs to a clan or have a clan affiliation			--	43.8%
	Visits or meets with people from his/her FNMI community often			--	6.3%
	Has a relationship to the land or landmarks in his/her community			--	26.7%
	Learns about traditional teachings, customs, or ceremonies often			--	0%
	Participates in his/her FNMI community events, activities, traditional meals/foods, and ceremonies often			--	0%
	Speaks his/her First Nations or Inuit language often or sometimes			--	0%
	Has a personal connection with an Elder, Healer, and/or Cultural Teacher			--	26.7%
	Has a native Spirit Name			--	12.5%
<b>Overall</b>	Belongs to a clan or have a clan affiliation	16.7%	22.4%	23.0%	20.4%
	Visits or meets with people from his/her FNMI community often	16.8%	19.9%	19.3%	10.0%
	Has a relationship to the land or landmarks in his/her community	25.1%	24.5%	21.2%	17.8%
	Learns about traditional teachings, customs, or ceremonies often	15.8%	23.8%	19.4%	12.9%
	Participates in his/her FNMI community events, activities, traditional meals/foods, and ceremonies often	11.6%	19.4%	9.0%	7.7%
	Speaks his/her First Nations or Inuit language often or sometimes	10.4%	4.1%	1.0%	4.5%
	Has a personal connection with an Elder, Healer, and/or Cultural Teacher	22.6%	27.1%	33.2%	26.1%
	Has a native Spirit Name	12.2%	16.7%	17.9%	20.0%

## Developmental Asset Profile

SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 14: The Search Institute has identified twenty external and internal assets as building blocks that help young people grow up healthy, caring, and responsible. External assets fall under the following categories: Support, Empowerment, and Boundaries and Expectations, and Constructive Use of Time. Internal assets fall under the following categories: Commitment to Learning, Positive Values, Social Competencies, and Positive Identity. Together, these make up the young person's Asset Profile.

Table 4-1: External assets by selected placement type and overall.

		0-5	6-9	10-15	16-17	18+
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	80	48	141	46	
	Mean score	17.9	16.2	14.6	13.4	
	Median score	18.0	16.0	15.0	13.0	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	630	362	826	341	
	Mean score	18.2	16.6	14.6	13.6	
	Median score	18.0	17.0	15.0	14.0	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	8	28	192	124	
	Mean score	18.3	14.6	12.5	11.3	
	Median score	19.0	14.5	12.0	11.0	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	72	39	37	6	
	Mean score	18.4	18.0	15.6	14.8	
	Median score	19.0	18.0	16.0	14.0	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			11	71	10
	Mean score			11.2	9.5	9.5
	Median score			13.0	10.0	10.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	821	494	1293	639	18
	Mean	18.2	16.6	14.2	12.4	9.6
	Median	18.0	17.0	14.0	13.0	10.5%
	Possible range			0-20		



Table 4-2: Internal assets by selected placement type and overall.

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	80	48	141	46	
	Mean score	19.6	18.1	15.7	15.2	
	Median score	20.0	19.0	17.0	17.0	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	630	362	826	341	
	Mean score	19.6	18.0	14.5	13.4	
	Median score	20.0	19.0	16.0	15.0	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	8	28	192	124	
	Mean score	19.0	15.0	10.7	9.1	
	Median score	20.0	16.0	11.0	9.0	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	72	39	37	6	
	Mean score	19.4	19.4	15.7	15.2	
	Median score	20.0	20.0	18.0	16.0	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			11	71	10
	Mean score			12.1	10.2	11.6
	Median score			13.0	10.0	11.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	821	494	1293	639	18
	Mean	19.6	17.9	13.9	12.0	12.1
	Median	20.0	19.0	15.0	13.0	12.0
	Possible range			0-20		

Table 4-3: SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 14: Total developmental assets by selected placement type and overall.

		0-5	6-9	10-15	16-17	18+
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	80	48	141	46	
	Mean score	37.4	34.3	30.2	28.6	
	Median score	38.0	35.0	32.0	30.5	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	630	362	826	241	
	Mean score	37.8	34.6	29.1	27.0	
	Median score	38.0	36.0	31.0	28.0	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	8	28	192	124	
	Mean score	37.3	29.6	23.2	20.4	
	Median score	38.0	31.5	23.0	20.0	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	72	39	37	6	
	Mean score	37.9	37.4	31.4	30.0	
	Median score	38.5	38.0	33.0	32.0	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			11	71	10
	Mean score			23.3	19.7	21.1
	Median score			26.0	20.0	24.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	821	494	1293	639	18
	Mean	37.8	34.5	28.1	24.4	21.7
	Median	38.0	36.0	30.0	25.0	24.5
	Possible range			0-40		

Table 4-4: Percentage of low, medium, and high asset youth by selected placement type and overall.

		0-5	6-9	10-15	16-17	18+
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	80	48	141	46	
	Low asset	0%	2.1%	8.5%	13.0%	
	Medium asset	2.5%	8.3%	33.3%	37.0%	
	High asset	97.5%	89.6%	58.2%	50.0%	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	630	362	826	341	
	Low asset	0.2%	1.4%	12.7%	20.2%	
	Medium asset	1.4%	14.1%	37.0%	43.1%	
	High asset	98.4%	84.5%	50.2%	36.7%	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	8	28	192	124	
	Low asset	0%	14.3%	39.6%	53.2%	
	Medium asset	12.5%	32.1%	36.5%	33.1%	
	High asset	87.5%	53.6%	24.0%	13.7%	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	72	39	37	6	
	Low asset	0%	0%	10.8%	0%	
	Medium asset	1.4%	0%	27.0%	33.3%	
	High asset	98.6%	100%	62.2%	66.7%	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			11	71	10
	Low asset			36.4%	50.7%	40.0%
	Medium asset			54.5%	32.4%	40.0%
	High asset			9.1%	16.9%	20.0%
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	821	494	1293	639	18
	Low asset	0.1%	2.2%	17.4%	32.2%	38.9%
	Medium asset	1.6%	13.2%	36.4%	38.7%	44.4%
	High asset	98.3%	84.6%	46.2%	29.1%	16.7%

**Note:** Low asset = 1-20 total developmental assets, medium asset = 21-30 total developmental assets, high asset = 31-40 total developmental assets.

## Education

This section illustrates the education-related experiences of young people by selected placement settings for each age group, and/or overall for each age group.

Table 5-1: Caregiver-reported academic achievement (for young people aged 6-17 years).

		<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Reading and language arts	<i>n</i> =	461	1161	497
	Very well or well	17.4%	27.3%	27.2%
	Average	41.9%	49.3%	50.3%
	Poorly or very poorly	40.8%	23.4%	22.5%
Mathematics	<i>n</i> =	465	1152	484
	Very well or well	17.8%	23.5%	19.0%
	Average	51.2%	49.1%	51.0%
	Poorly or very poorly	31.0%	27.3%	30.0%
Science	<i>n</i> =	410	1069	418
	Very well or well	15.1%	22.2%	22.2%
	Average	61.0%	58.2%	56.0%
	Poorly or very poorly	23.9%	19.6%	21.8%
Overall	<i>n</i> =	479	1220	527
	Very well or well	16.9%	25.8%	22.2%
	Average	53.2%	53.9%	53.9%
	Poorly or very poorly	29.9%	20.3%	23.9%
<i>Academic achievement score</i>	<i>n</i> =	406	1043	400
	Mean	3.5	4.2	4.1
	Median	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Possible range		0-8	

Table 5-2: Young person's self-perceived success in school (for young people aged 10-17 years).

		<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
	<i>n</i> =	1145	516
Doing very well in school		36.5%	32.8%
Doing average in school		55.6%	53.5%
Doing poorly in school		7.9%	13.8%

Table 5-3: SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 16: Worker-rated age-to-grade performance in school (for young people aged 6-17 years).

		<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Performance in school in reading	<i>n</i> =	491	1267	632
	Excellent	8.6%	14.4%	14.4%
	Good	31.6%	38.2%	42.6%
	Fair	32.6%	27.9%	25.2%
	Poor	27.3%	19.6%	17.9%
Performance in school in math	<i>n</i> =	491	1264	633
	Excellent	5.5%	8.1%	5.8%
	Good	40.7%	37.8%	37.4%
	Fair	31.0%	32.4%	31.4%
	Poor	22.8%	21.8%	25.3%

Table 5-4: Estimated number of school changes, other than through natural progression, since entering school by selected placement and overall (for young people aged 6-17 years)

		<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	35	100	32
	Mean score	2.5	3.0	4.0
	Median score	2.0	3.0	4.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	264	657	269
	Mean score	2.3	3.7	3.7
	Median score	2.0	3.0	3.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	18	165	108
	Mean score	2.9	4.3	5.4
	Median score	3.0	4.0	4.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	11	23	5
	Mean score	1.7	2.4	3.6
	Median score	1.0	1.0	2.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =		7	69
	Mean score		6.3	5.6
	Median score		6.0	5.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	341	1010	527
	Mean	2.4	3.7	4.5
	Median	2.0	3.0	4.0
	Range	0.0 – 10.0	0.0 – 15.0	0.0 – 63.0

Table 5-5: Caregiver-reported literacy promoting activities score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 1-2 years).

		<b>1-2</b>
Kinship in care	Mean	34
	Median	30.9
Foster home	Mean	279
	Median	31.7
Group home	Mean	2
	Median	32.0
Customary care	Mean	34
	Median	31.6
<b>Overall</b>	Mean	365
	Median	31.7
	Possible range	32.0
		0-40

Table 5-6: Caregiver-reported literacy promoting activities score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 3-5 years).

		<b>3-5</b>
Kinship in care	Mean	33
	Median	47.9
Foster home	Mean	236
	Median	45.9
Group home	Mean	5
	Median	35.4
Customary care	Mean	36
	Median	45.3
<b>Overall</b>	Mean	322
	Median	45.7
	Possible range	47.0
		0-60

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 5-7: Caregiver-reported literacy promoting activities score by selected placement type and overall (for children aged 6-9 years).

		<b>6-9</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	48
	Mean	22.2
	Median	23.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	350
	Mean	22.7
	Median	24.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	25
	Mean	20.8
	Median	23.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	37
	Mean	21.7
	Median	22.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	480
	Mean	22.5
	Median	24.0
	Possible range	0-30

Table 5-9: Highest level of education completed (for young adults aged 18+ years).

	<b>18+</b>
<i>n</i> =	17
Less than grade 9	0%
Grade 9	5.9%
Grade 10	5.9%
Grade 11	35.3%
High school diploma or its equivalent	35.3%
Technical, trade, or vocational school (above high school level)	11.8%
Community college	5.9%
University degree	0%

## Health

This section illustrates the health-related experiences of young people by selected placement settings for each age group, and/or overall for each age group.

Table 6-1: Health status by selected placement setting and overall.

		0-5	6-9	10-15	16+
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	79	51	136	42
	Excellent or very good	92.4%	86.3%	86.0%	64.3%
	Good	6.3%	13.7%	11.8%	23.8%
	Fair or poor	1.3%	0%	2.2%	11.9%
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	638	354	789	294
	Excellent or very good	86.7%	88.7%	83.2%	80.4%
	Good	11.1%	7.6%	14.8%	24.5%
	Fair or poor	2.2%	3.7%	2.0%	5.1%
Group home	<i>n</i> =	9	28	163	95
	Excellent or very good	77.7%	53.6%	69.4%	52.6%
	Good	11.1%	32.1%	23.9%	37.9%
	Fair or poor	11.1%	14.2%	6.7%	9.5%
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	72	39	37	7
	Excellent or very good	84.7%	94.9%	78.3%	85.7%
	Good	15.3%	5.1%	21.6%	0%
	Fair or poor	0%	0%	0%	14.3%
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			8	64
	Excellent or very good			25.0%	46.9%
	Good			75.0%	37.5%
	Fair or poor			0%	15.7%
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	837	493	1213	552
	Excellent or very good	86.9%	86.6%	79.9%	62.8%
	Good	11.0%	9.7%	17.2%	28.8%
	Fair or poor	2.2%	3.6%	2.9%	8.3%



## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 6-2: Physical difficulties (for young people aged 6-17 years).

	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
No problems	57.1%	63.6%	57.8%
Speaking	27.8%	10.4%	5.0%
Walking	7.8%	3.7%	3.1%
Climbing	8.0%	3.6%	2.6%
Bending	4.5%	3.0%	4.0%
Seeing	14.3%	15.6%	13.7%
Hearing	4.9%	3.0%	5.3%
Using hands and fingers	8.6%	3.4%	2.4%

Table 6-3: Long-term conditions diagnosed by a health professional.

	<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
None	56.8%	35.0%	21.6%	20.9%
Food or digestive allergies	6.3%	1.4%	3.5%	4.0%
Respiratory allergies	0.8%	0.8%	2.3%	2.4%
Other allergies	1.7%	1.4%	3.2%	2.9%
Asthma	5.8%	3.9%	4.2%	6.5%
Bronchitis	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
Heart condition or disease	3.0%	1.6%	1.3%	1.3%
Epilepsy	0.7%	2.5%	2.6%	1.8%
Diabetes	0%	0.4%	0.7%	1.5%
Cerebral palsy	1.4%	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Kidney condition or disease	1.4%	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%
Blood disorder	0.3%	0%	0.3%	0.3%
Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder	3.0%	4.9%	6.9%	5.3%
Developmental disability	9.7%	17.6%	19.1%	17.4%
Learning disability	4.2%	21.9%	35.5%	32.9%
Attention deficit disorder	3.1%	29.0%	36.7%	32.6%
Emotional, psychological, or nervous disorder	2.9%	21.1%	32.1%	34.0%
Any other long-term condition	11.8%	15.7%	14.0%	11.6%

Table 6-4: Health services received in the last year.

	0-2	3-5	6-9	10-15	16+
Traditional Healer ( <i>FNMI young people</i> )	4.5%	3.9%	10.3%	6.1%	5.6%
Medical examination	99.2%	95.4%	95.4%	95.6%	91.0%
Dental examination	22.0%	89.0%	95.2%	95.7%	91.7%
Up-to-date immunizations	95.7%	97.4%	97.4%	98.2%	97.1%

Table 6-5: Soft drug use (tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana, for young people aged 10-18+ years).

		10-15	16-17	18+
Tobacco	<i>n</i> =	1159	527	17
	Not at all	85.8%	61.5%	35.3%
	Have tried it	6.5%	11.4%	0%
	Occasionally	3.5%	11.6%	11.8%
	Daily	4.1%	15.6%	52.9%
Alcohol	<i>n</i> =	987	525	17
	Not at all	85.3%	55.0%	5.9%
	Have tried it	9.1%	24.0%	0%
	Occasionally	4.5%	19.0%	94.1%
	Daily	1.1%	1.9%	0%
Marijuana	<i>n</i> =	1156	523	18
	Not at all	85.5%	54.9%	11.1%
	Have tried it	7.4%	17.0%	11.1%
	Occasionally	4.0%	16.1%	38.9%
	Daily	3.1%	12.0%	38.9%

Table 6-6: Prescription or non-prescription medication use.

		0-5	6-9	10-15	16+
Prescription or non-prescription	<i>n</i> =	840	495	1166	546
	Yes	20.8%	45.9%	59.7%	58.2%
	No	79.2%	54.1%	40.3%	41.8%
Psychotropic	<i>n</i> =	836	495	1287	642
	Yes	4.2%	37.0%	52.4%	43.9%
	No	95.5%	62.8%	47.4%	55.9%
	Uncertain	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Support and monitoring of medication provided	<i>n</i> =	807	499	1281	655
	Yes	99.1%	99.8%	99.2%	97.1%
	No	0.9%	0.2%	0.8%	2.9%

## Ages & Stages Questionnaires<sup>®</sup>, Third Edition (ASQ-3<sup>™</sup>)

The ASQ-3<sup>™</sup> are caregiver-completed/reported, age-appropriate tools used to screen babies and children in the following areas of development: communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem solving, and personal-social. When a child's score is *above* the cutoff, development appears to be on schedule. When a child's score is *near* the cutoff, the child should be provided with learning materials and monitored. When a child's score is *below* the cutoff, further assessment by a professional may be needed.

Table 7-1: ASQ-3<sup>™</sup> 10 Month Questionnaire for babies aged 9 months, 0 days through 10 months, 30 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	10 months <i>n</i> = 8			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	22.87	0-60	43.8	75.0%	25.0%	0%
Gross Motor	30.07		48.1	75.0%	0%	25.0%
Fine Motor	37.97		54.4	87.5%	0%	12.5%
Problem Solving	32.51		50.0	75.0%	25.0%	0%
Personal-Social	27.25		43.8	50.0%	50.0%	0%

Table 7-2: ASQ-3<sup>™</sup> 12 Month Questionnaire for babies aged 11 months, 0 days through 12 months, 30 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	Mean total score	12 months <i>n</i> = 142		
				% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	15.64	0-60	41.9	79.6%	13.4%	7.0%
Gross Motor	21.49		35.1	54.9%	18.3%	26.8%
Fine Motor	34.50		46.9	70.4%	19.0%	10.6%
Problem Solving	27.32		40.5	66.2%	15.5%	18.3%
Personal-Social	21.73		40.6	73.2%	10.6%	16.2%

Table 7-3 ASQ-3™ 14 Month Questionnaire for babies aged 13 months, 0 days through 14 months, 30 days.

			<b>14 months n = 22</b>			
<b>Area</b>	<b>Cutoff</b>	<b>Possible range</b>	Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	17.40	0-60	35.2	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%
Gross Motor	25.80		40.9	63.6%	4.5%	31.8%
Fine Motor	23.06		35.0	50.0%	36.4%	13.6%
Problem Solving	22.56		29.5	36.4%	31.8%	31.8%
Personal-Social	23.18		40.0	63.6%	31.8%	4.5%

Table 7-4: ASQ-3™ 16 Month Questionnaire for children aged 15 months, 0 days through 16 months, 30 days.

			<b>16 months n = 21</b>			
<b>Area</b>	<b>Cutoff</b>	<b>Possible range</b>	Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	16.81	0-60	28.3	28.6%	47.6%	23.6%
Gross Motor	37.91		39.0	61.9%	0%	38.1%
Fine Motor	31.98		40.5	47.6%	19.0%	33.9%
Problem Solving	30.51		32.6	33.3%	14.3%	52.4%
Personal-Social	26.43		38.1	57.1%	19.0%	23.8%

Table 7-5: ASQ-3™ 18 Month Questionnaire for children aged 17 months, 0 days through 18 months, 30 days.

			<b>18 months n = 11</b>			
<b>Area</b>	<b>Cutoff</b>	<b>Possible range</b>	Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	13.06	0-60	27.3	54.5%	18.2%	27.3%
Gross Motor	37.38		30.9	36.4%	0%	63.6%
Fine Motor	34.32		39.5	54.5%	18.2%	27.3%
Problem Solving	25.74		31.8	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%
Personal-Social	27.19		38.2	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 7-6: ASQ-3™ 20 Month Questionnaire for children aged 19 months, 0 days through 20 months, 30 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	20 months n = 15			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	20.50	0-60	28.3	33.3%	26.7%	40.0%
Gross Motor	39.89		51.7	86.7%	6.7%	6.7%
Fine Motor	36.05		50.3	80.0%	13.3%	6.7%
Problem Solving	28.84		44.3	80.0%	6.7%	13.3%
Personal-Social	33.36		42.0	53.3%	26.7%	20.0%

Table 7-7: ASQ-3™ 22 Month Questionnaire for children aged 21 months, 0 days through 22 months, 30 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	22 months n = 12			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	13.04	0-60	32.1	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%
Gross Motor	27.75		52.1	83.3%	16.7%	0%
Fine Motor	29.61		49.2	83.3%	16.7%	0%
Problem Solving	29.30		49.2	83.3%	8.3%	8.3%
Personal-Social	30.07		49.6	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%

Table 7-8: ASQ-3™ 24 Month Questionnaire for children aged 23 months, 0 days through 25 months, 15 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	24 months n = 71			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	25.17	0-60	34.8	52.1%	5.6%	42.3%
Gross Motor	38.07		45.6	62.0%	18.3%	19.7%
Fine Motor	35.16		44.6	69.0%	7.0%	23.9%
Problem Solving	29.78		39.9	64.8%	14.1%	21.1%
Personal-Social	31.54		41.8	62.0%	12.7%	25.4%

Table 7-9: ASQ-3™ 27 Month Questionnaire for children aged 25 months, 16 days through 28 months, 15 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	27 months n = 25			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	24.02	0-60	41.6	68.0%	12.0%	20.0%
Gross Motor	28.01		46.4	80.0%	8.0%	12.0%
Fine Motor	18.42		35.2	64.0%	28.0%	8.0%
Problem Solving	27.62		45.4	76.0%	8.0%	16.0%
Personal-Social	25.31		41.8	68.0%	16.0%	16.0%

Table 7-10: ASQ-3™ 30 Month Questionnaire for children aged 28 months, 16 days through 31 months, 15 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	30 months n = 19			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	33.30	0-60	42.6	47.4%	31.6%	21.1%
Gross Motor	36.14		53.4	89.5%	5.3%	5.3%
Fine Motor	19.25		31.8	52.6%	26.3%	21.1%
Problem Solving	27.08		36.8	57.9%	15.8%	26.3%
Personal-Social	32.01		46.8	63.2%	31.6%	5.3%

Table 7-11: ASQ-3™ 33 Month Questionnaire for children aged 31 months, 16 days through 34 months, 15 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	33 months n = 20			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	25.36	0-60	44.5	75.0%	10.0%	15.0%
Gross Motor	34.80		46.8	75.0%	10.0%	15.0%
Fine Motor	12.28		30.3	55.0%	20.0%	25.0%
Problem Solving	26.92		37.8	55.0%	15.0%	30.0%
Personal-Social	28.96		44.8	65.0%	25.0%	10.0%

Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 7-12: ASQ-3™ 36 Month Questionnaire for children aged 34 months, 16 days through 38 months, 30 days.

			<b>36 months</b> <i>n = 59</i>			
<b>Area</b>	<b>Cutoff</b>	<b>Possible range</b>	Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	30.99	0-60	40.0	62.7%	5.1%	32.2%
Gross Motor	36.99		51.6	81.4%	6.8%	11.9%
Fine Motor	18.07		34.1	55.9%	20.3%	23.7%
Problem Solving	30.29		40.6	54.2%	16.9%	28.8%
Personal-Social	35.33		47.9	74.6%	5.1%	20.3%

Table 7-13: ASQ-3™ 42 Month Questionnaire for children aged 39 months, 0 days through 44 months, 30 days.

			<b>42 months</b> <i>n = 31</i>			
<b>Area</b>	<b>Cutoff</b>	<b>Possible range</b>	Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	27.06	0-60	37.6	61.3%	3.2%	35.5%
Gross Motor	36.27		45.2	67.7%	6.5%	25.8%
Fine Motor	19.82		37.1	64.5%	16.1%	19.4%
Problem Solving	28.11		39.4	67.7%	3.2%	29.0%
Personal-Social	31.12		43.2	64.5%	16.1%	19.4

Table 7-14: ASQ-3™ 48 Month Questionnaire for children aged 45 months, 0 days through 50 months, 30 days.

			<b>48 months</b> <i>n = 45</i>			
<b>Area</b>	<b>Cutoff</b>	<b>Possible range</b>	Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	30.72	0-60	35.8	51.1%	6.7%	42.2%
Gross Motor	32.78		43.7	64.4%	4.4%	31.1%
Fine Motor	15.81		33.0	55.6%	17.8%	26.7%
Problem Solving	31.30		41.6	64.4%	6.7%	28.9%
Personal-Social	26.60		40.9	66.7%	8.9%	24.4%

Table 7-15: ASQ-3™ 54 Month Questionnaire for children aged 51 months, 0 days through 56 months, 30 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	54 months <i>n = 42</i>			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	31.85	0-60	39.0	52.4%	11.9%	35.7%
Gross Motor	35.18		47.7	73.8%	7.1%	19.0%
Fine Motor	17.32		32.4	47.6%	21.4%	31.0%
Problem Solving	28.12		44.9	81.0%	0%	19.0%
Personal-Social	32.33		39.2	54.8%	16.7%	28.6%

Table 7-16: ASQ-3™ 60 Month Questionnaire for children aged 57 months, 0 days through 66 months, 30 days.

Area	Cutoff	Possible range	60 months <i>n = 77</i>			
			Mean total score	% above cutoff	% near cutoff	% below cutoff
Communication	33.19	0-60	38.2	54.5%	9.1%	36.4%
Gross Motor	31.28		43.5	61.0%	11.7%	27.3%
Fine Motor	26.54		40.1	67.5%	7.8%	24.7%
Problem Solving	29.99		45.6	74.0%	9.1%	16.9%
Personal-Social	39.07		43.8	72.7%	11.7%	15.6%



Table 7-17: ASQ-3™ Questionnaire summaries by area (for children aged 0-5 years, OnLAC age groups).

<b>Area</b>		<b>0-11 mos.</b>	<b>12-23 mos.</b>	<b>24.35 mos.</b>	<b>3-5 yrs.</b>
Communication	<i>n</i> =	92	160	130	192
	% above cutoff	82.6%	51.9%	60.8%	49.5%
	% near cutoff	10.9%	25.0%	10.8%	7.8%
	% below cutoff	6.5%	23.1%	28.5%	42.7%
Gross Motor	<i>n</i> =	92	160	130	192
	% above cutoff	53.3%	60.6%	76.2%	63.5%
	% near cutoff	19.6%	12.5%	8.5%	8.3%
	% below cutoff	27.2%	26.9%	15.4%	28.1%
Fine Motor	<i>n</i> =	92	160	130	192
	% above cutoff	72.8%	61.3%	60.8%	52.6%
	% near cutoff	19.6%	18.1%	20.0%	17.7%
	% below cutoff	7.6%	20.6%	19.2%	29.7%
Problem Solving	<i>n</i> =	92	160	130	192
	% above cutoff	78.3%	60.6%	60.8%	64.6%
	% near cutoff	16.3%	16.3%	16.2%	7.8%
	% below cutoff	5.4%	23.1%	23.1%	27.6%
Personal-Social	<i>n</i> =	92	160	130	192
	% above cutoff	72.8%	63.1%	66.9%	60.9%
	% near cutoff	14.1%	17.5%	16.2%	14.1%
	% below cutoff	13.0%	19.4%	16.9%	25.0%

## Emotional Health and Social Development

This section illustrates the well-being of young people by selected placement settings for each age group, and/or overall for each age group.

### *Ages & Stages Questionnaires®: Social-Emotional (ASQ:SE)*

The ASQ:SE are caregiver-completed/reported, age-appropriate questionnaires focused on the social and emotional development of babies and young children. When a child's score is *below* the cutoff, social and emotional development appear to be on schedule. When a child's score is *above* the cutoff, setting/time factors, development factors, health factors, and family/cultural factors must be considered, and referral for a mental health evaluation may be appropriate.

Table 8-1: ASQ:SE Questionnaire summaries (for children aged 3 to 65 months).

ASQ:SE Questionnaire	Cutoff	Possible range	n =	Mean total score	Median total score	% below cutoff
<b>6 months</b> (infants aged 3 to 8 months)	<b>45</b>	0-285	1	0.0	0.0	100%
<b>12 months</b> (children aged 9 to 14 months)	<b>48</b>	0-330	186	12.9	10.0	97.8%
<b>18 months</b> (children aged 15 to 20 months)	<b>50</b>	0-390	56	19.6	15.0	94.6%
<b>24 months</b> (children aged 21 to 26 months)	<b>50</b>	0-390	105	28.5	15.0	80.0%
<b>30 months</b> (children aged 27 to 32 months)	<b>57</b>	0-435	49	41.9	35.0	77.6%
<b>36 months</b> (children aged 33 to 41 months)	<b>59</b>	0-465	92	49.0	40.0	69.6%
<b>48 months</b> (children aged 42 to 53 months)	<b>70</b>	0-495	86	66.5	45.0	66.3%
<b>60 months</b> (children ages 54 to 65 months)	<b>70</b>	0-495	96	67.3	55.0	61.5%

Table 8-2: Percentage of children below the ASQ:SE age-appropriate cutoff (social and emotional development appear to be on schedule) by OnLAC age group (for children aged 0-5 years).

	0-11 mos.	12-23 mos.	24-35 mos.	3-5 yrs.
n =	104	191	137	239
% below cutoff	97.1%	92.1%	78.8%	64.0%

Table 8-3: Youth-reported positive mental health score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 10-17 years).

		<b>10-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	118	39
	Mean score	56.0	54.2
	Median score	57.0	57.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	653	261
	Mean score	54.6	52.8
	Median score	57.0	56.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	121	88
	Mean score	49.5	47.7
	Median score	53.0	51.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	29	6
	Mean score	54.6	62.2
	Median score	56.0	62.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	7	46
	Mean score	46.7	48.4
	Median score	46.0	53.5
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	990	473
	Mean	53.7	51.3
	Median	56.0	55.0
	Possible range	0-70	

Table 8-4: Youth-reported Kessler-6 distress scale score by current place of residence and overall (for young adults aged 18+ years).

		<b>18+</b>
With relatives	<i>n</i> =	4
	Mean score	11.5
	Median score	12.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	9
	Mean score	5.7
	Median score	6.0
Other	<i>n</i> =	2
	Mean score	13.0
	Median score	13.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	17
	Mean	8.4
	Median	7.0
	Possible range	0-24

Table 8-5: Youth-reported coping strategies score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 13-17 years).

		<b>13-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	76	42
	Mean score	7.9	8.9
	Median score	8.0	9.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	442	283
	Mean score	7.5	8.1
	Median score	7.0	8.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	94	90
	Mean score	6.3	6.6
	Median score	6.0	6.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	15	7
	Mean score	7.3	9.4
	Median score	6.0	10.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	7	51
	Mean score	8.3	8.2
	Median score	9.0	8.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	664	511
	Mean	7.3	8.0
	Median	7.0	8.0
	Possible range	0-12	

Table 8-6: Youth-reported hope score by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 13-17 years).

		<b>13-15</b>	<b>16-17</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	74	41
	Mean score	13.2	14.5
	Median score	14.0	16.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	420	280
	Mean score	12.9	13.4
	Median score	13.0	14.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	92	90
	Mean score	11.8	10.6
	Median score	12.0	10.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	12	7
	Mean score	11.3	16.3
	Median score	10.0	18.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	7	52
	Mean score	10.6	12.9
	Median score	8.0	13.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	656	507
	Mean	12.5	12.9
	Median	13.0	14.0
	Possible range	0-18	

## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 8-7: Caregiver-reported mean Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) scores by selected placement type and overall (for young people aged 6-17 years).

		6-9	10-15	16-17
Kinship in care	Prosocial <i>n</i> =	49	136	46
		8.3	8.5	8.0
	Emotional Symptoms <i>n</i> =	48	136	46
		1.9	2.7	2.9
	Hyperactivity	5.8	4.4	3.8
	Conduct Problems	2.4	1.8	1.7
	Peer Problems	1.9	2.0	3.2
	Total Difficulties	11.9	10.9	11.6
Foster home	Prosocial <i>n</i> =	356	815	332
		7.5	7.5	7.7
	Emotional Symptoms <i>n</i> =	357	816	331
		2.6	2.9	3.1
	Hyperactivity	6.0	5.1	4.5
	Conduct Problems	2.9	2.6	2.3
	Peer Problems	2.4	2.8	3.0
	Total Difficulties	13.8	13.4	12.9
Group home	Prosocial <i>n</i> =	26	187	122
		5.6	6.2	6.5
	Emotional Symptoms <i>n</i> =	25	187	122
		2.1	3.2	3.4
	Hyperactivity	6.5	6.2	4.7
	Conduct Problems	2.5	3.4	2.2
	Peer Problems	3.4	3.9	3.0
	Total Difficulties	14.5	16.7	13.4
Customary care	Prosocial <i>n</i> =	40	37	7
		8.6	8.6	8.1
	Emotional Symptoms <i>n</i> =	40	37	7
		2.1	2.9	2.4
	Hyperactivity	3.6	4.3	4.6
	Conduct Problems	1.6	1.6	2.0
	Peer Problems	1.6	2.4	2.5
	Total Difficulties	8.8	11.1	11.5
<b>Overall</b>	Prosocial <i>n</i> =	492	1199	528
		7.6	7.4	7.5
	Possible range		0-10	
	Emotional Symptoms <i>n</i> =	491	1200	527
		2.5	2.9	3.1
	Hyperactivity	5.8	5.2	4.5
	Conduct Problems	2.7	2.6	2.2
	Peer Problems	2.3	2.9	3.0
	Total Difficulties	13.4	13.6	12.8
	Possible range		0-40	

## Transition to Adulthood: Casey Life Skills

Casey Life Skills is a broad tool (youth-reported) that helps young people to assess skills, abilities, and supports they possess, that can help them to transition to adulthood in a healthy and positive way. The tool covers eight areas: permanency, daily living, self care, relationships and communication, housing and money management, work and study life, career and education planning, and looking forward.

Table 9-1: Average Casey Life Skills Permanency scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		16-17	18+
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.7	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	4.6	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	4.3	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	4.6	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.5	4.7
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	4.5	4.6
Possible range		1-5	

Table 9-2: Average Casey Life Skills Daily Living scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		16-17	18+
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.5	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	384	
	Average score	4.4	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	4.1	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	4.2	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.5	4.7
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	4.3	4.7
Possible range		1-5	

Table 9-3: Average Casey Life Skills Self Care scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.4	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	4.2	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	4.0	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	4.3	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.5	4.4
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	4.2	4.5
	Possible range	1-5	

Table 9-4: Average Casey Life Skills Relationships and Communication scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.7	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	4.5	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	4.2	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	4.6	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.5	4.5
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	4.4	4.6
	Possible range	1-5	

Table 9-5: Average Casey Life Skills Housing and Money Management scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	3.6	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	3.4	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	3.0	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	2.8	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	3.9	4.1
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	3.4	4.3
	Possible range	1-5	

Table 9-6: Average Casey Life Skills Work and Study Life scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.1	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	4.0	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	3.5	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	3.5	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.2	4.2
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	3.9	4.3
	Possible range	1-5	



## Ontario Looking After Children 2020 Report

Table 9-7: Average Casey Life Skills Career and Education Planning scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	3.8	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	3.7	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	3.3	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	3.2	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	3.9	4.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	3.7	4.1
	Possible range	1-5	

Table 9-8: Average Casey Life Skills Looking Forward scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.2	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	4.2	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	3.7	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	4.4	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.3	4.5
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	4.1	4.6
	Possible range	1-5	

Table 9-9: Average Casey Life Skills Total scores by selected placement type or place of residence and overall (for young people aged 16+ years).

		<b>16-17</b>	<b>18+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	42	
	Average score	4.3	
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	284	
	Average score	4.1	
Group home	<i>n</i> =	89	
	Average score	3.8	
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	7	
	Average score	3.9	
Independent living	<i>n</i> =	50	9
	Average score	4.3	4.4
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	510	17
	Average score	4.1	4.5
	Possible range	1-5	

## Time to Complete the AAR

This section illustrates the time taken to complete the AAR conversation.

Table 10-1: Total mean and median time (in hours) to complete the AAR by selected placement setting and overall.

		<b>0-5</b>	<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	77	51	136	45
	Mean time	2.3	2.2	2.3	1.9
	Median time	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.6
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	617	357	815	329
	Mean time	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.2
	Median time	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	8	29	187	120
	Mean time	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.2
	Median time	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	66	39	37	7
	Mean time	2.2	2.0	1.9	2.0
	Median time	2.0	1.9	1.5	2.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =			10	84
	Mean time			1.8	1.8
	Median time			1.3	1.5
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	800	494	1270	644
	Mean time	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.1
	Median time	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Range	0.3 – 9.5	0.1 – 8.0	0.1 – 30.0	0.3 – 6.0

Table 10-2: Total mean and median time ( in hours) that the young person participated in completing the AAR, by placement setting and overall (for young people aged 6-16+ years).

		<b>6-9</b>	<b>10-15</b>	<b>16+</b>
Kinship in care	<i>n</i> =	44	138	43
	Mean time	0.3	1.0	1.2
	Median time	0.0	1.0	1.0
Foster home	<i>n</i> =	332	808	319
	Mean time	0.3	1.2	1.2
	Median time	0.0	1.0	1.0
Group home	<i>n</i> =	28	187	117
	Mean time	0.1	1.2	1.2
	Median time	0.0	1.0	1.0
Customary care	<i>n</i> =	39	37	6
	Mean time	0.4	0.9	1.0
	Median time	0.4	0.8	1.0
Independent living	<i>n</i> =		11	82
	Mean time		0.9	1.0
	Median time		1.0	1.0
<b>Overall</b>	<i>n</i> =	461	1265	625
	Mean time	0.3	1.1	1.2
	Median time	0.0	1.0	1.0
	Range	0.0 – 2.5	0.0 – 30.0	0.0 – 5.0