DISPELLING THE MYTHS ABOUT SHARING INFORMATION WITH CHILDREN’S AID SOCIETIES.

YES,

YOU

CAN.
WHY THIS BOOKLET?

All too often, a professional may become aware of a risk of harm to a child, but does not report that suspicion to a children’s aid society (CAS) based on the unfounded belief that “privacy” prevents them from doing so.

The Provincial Advocate for Children and Youth and the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario have worked together to develop this resource to clarify some common misunderstandings about privacy.

CASs operate under the authority of the Child and Family Services Act. This act and its regulations give them broad duties and powers relating to the protection of children, including the authority to conduct investigations into allegations of harm and review reports of children in need of protection.

Despite this broad authority, health providers, police, teachers and social service workers sometimes refuse to provide information to child protection workers. While well-intentioned, refusal to share information about a child in need of protection may leave the child at risk of harm.
The Provincial Advocate and the Information and Privacy Commissioner are aware that there is confusion about different sets of privacy guidelines and policies. During a number of Coroners’ inquests into the deaths of children, CAS case workers have testified about the frustration they experience when trying to obtain information from other parties. Professionals working with children must ensure that they do not wrongly see privacy as a barrier to disclosing personal information about children in need of protection to CAS workers.

Please take a few minutes to review this important information. We encourage you to share it with your colleagues.

PART I

WHAT DOES CHILD PROTECTION LEGISLATION SAY?

Under the Child and Family Services Act (CFSA), CASs investigate allegations or evidence that children may be in need of protection.¹ A child may be in need of protection if the child has suffered physical harm, sexual molestation, sexual exploitation, emotional harm, inadequate care or has been abandoned or been subject to a pattern of neglect.²

DUTY TO REPORT

If a person has reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protection, the person must immediately report the suspicion and the information on which it is based to a CAS. The obligation to report applies to any person, including a person who performs professional or official duties with respect to children, and applies despite the provisions of any other act.³

¹ CFSA s.15(3)(a)
² CFSA s. 37(2)
³ CFSA s. 72(1)
DISCRETION TO DISCLOSE
Every CAS has a review team that recommends how a child can be protected. Despite the provisions of any other act, a person may disclose to a review team information reasonably required by the team for this purpose. A person’s ability to disclose such information to a review team applies even if the information is confidential or privileged.

No action for disclosing the information can be instituted against the person unless the person acts maliciously or without reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protection.

PART II
WHAT DOES ONTARIO’S PRIVACY LEGISLATION SAY?

JURISDICTION OF THE INFORMATION AND PRIVACY COMMISSIONER
The Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario (IPC) oversees the:

- Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA)
- Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA)
- Personal Health Information Protection Act, 2004 (PHIPA).

When we talk about all three pieces of legislation, we call them “Ontario’s privacy legislation”.

FIPPA and MFIPPA govern the collection, use and disclosure of personal information by institutions under those acts. PHIPA governs the collection, use and disclosure of personal health information by health information custodians (custodians).

---

4 CFSA s. 73(4)
5 CFSA s. 73(5)
6 CFSA s. 73(6)
Municipal police services, school boards and municipalities are institutions under MFIPPA. The Ontario Provincial Police is an institution under FIPPA.

Hospitals are custodians under PHIPA. Physicians and other health care practitioners may also be custodians under PHIPA.

Ontario’s 47 CASs are not governed by FIPPA and MFIPPA.

**INSTITUTIONS CAN DISCLOSE PERSONAL INFORMATION TO A CAS UNDER FIPPA, MFIPPA AND THE CFSA**

Under FIPPA and MFIPPA, personal information may be disclosed in various circumstances including:

- to comply with a law\(^7\),
- in compelling circumstances affecting the health or safety of an individual\(^8\) and
- in compassionate circumstances to facilitate contact.\(^9\)

These provisions enable the disclosure of personal information by an institution and its employees to a CAS worker to comply with the CFSA’s duty to report. They also permit the disclosure of personal information to a CAS review team.

**HEALTH INFORMATION CUSTODIANS CAN DISCLOSE PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION TO A CAS UNDER PHIPA**

Custodians and their agents may disclose personal health information to CASs so they can carry out their statutory functions, including the conduct of investigations and reviews under the CFSA.\(^10\) PHIPA also recognizes that CASs may be lawfully entitled, in the place of the parent, to give or refuse consent to disclosures by a custodian of the child’s personal health information.\(^11\)

**PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY**

Institutions and custodians are protected from liability (that is, monetary damages) if they act in good faith and do what is reasonable under the circumstances in the exercise of their powers or duties under Ontario’s privacy legislation.\(^12\)

---

\(^7\) FIPPA s. 42(1)(e), MFIPPA s. 32(e)  
\(^8\) FIPPA s. 42(1)(h), MFIPPA s. 32(h)  
\(^9\) FIPPA s. 42(1)(i), MFIPPA s. 32(i)  
\(^10\) PHIPA s. 43(1)(e), PHIPA O.Reg. 329/04 s. 7(2)(iii)  
\(^11\) PHIPA s. 23(1)2, PHIPA s. 26(1)5  
\(^12\) FIPPA s. 62(2), MFIPPA s. 49(2), PHIPA s. 71
2. **A CAS contacts a child’s health care practitioner and asks for information to assist in an investigation. Can the practitioner disclose information about the child to the CAS?**

**Yes, they can.** Health care practitioners who may be either health information custodians or agents of custodians under PHIPA may disclose personal health information so CASs can carry out their statutory functions.\(^{13}\) This includes the duty to report if they have reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protection, in which case they must immediately report the suspicion and information on which it is based.

---

13 PHIPA s. 43(1)(e), PHIPA O.Reg. 329/04 s. 7(2)(iii)
3. Police and a CAS worker respond to an allegation made to the CAS. Can they share information with each other at that time? Can their organizations share information during joint investigations of the same caregivers and children?

Yes, they can. Police officers who accompany a CAS worker on a call for safety reasons and who have reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protection must immediately report the suspicion and the information on which it is based to the CAS worker.

In addition, there is nothing in Ontario’s privacy laws that would prohibit police officers from making disclosures to a CAS worker who is conducting an investigation or a review under the CFSA.

Police officers may also disclose personal information under the Police Services Act and its regulations.14

CASs are not limited by FIPPA and MFIPPA in what they can disclose to police, because they are not subject to those laws.

4. Can police officers disclose information to CAS workers about a person’s criminal record if they believe the individual poses a risk to children?

Yes, they can. Police officers who have reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protection must immediately report the suspicion and the information on which it is based to the CAS worker.

If the officer believes a child is at risk due to an individual, then the officer must disclose that suspicion and the information it is based on. In addition to information disclosed to comply with the duty to report, police officers may disclose personal information under the Police Services Act and its regulations.15

14 Police Services Act, s. 41 and O.Reg. 265/98 “Disclosure of Personal Information.” These disclosures are deemed to be in compliance with MFIPPA s. 32(e). See Police Services Act s. 41(1.3).

15 Police Services Act, s. 41 and O.Reg. 265/98 “Disclosure of Personal Information.” These disclosures are deemed to be in compliance with MFIPPA s. 32(e). See Police Services Act s. 41(1.3).
5. **Can police officers disclose information to a CAS worker when that worker is conducting a child protection investigation?**

   **Yes, they can.** Police officers can provide information to a CAS worker conducting a child protection investigation or a review under the CFSA. Ontario’s privacy legislation is not a barrier to such disclosure.

6. **Social services office staff witness an interaction between a parent and a child that triggers a report to a CAS. Can staff tell the CAS about what they saw and what else they know about the family?**

   **Yes, they can.** Nothing in Ontario’s privacy legislation interferes with social services staff disclosing personal information to a CAS to comply with the duty to report or disclosing personal information to a CAS review team. Even if the social services staff did not provide the initial report that the child may be in need of protection, if there are reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is in need of protection, there remains the duty to immediately report the suspicion and the information on which it is based. Again, Ontario’s privacy legislation is not a barrier to such disclosure.

---

**RESOURCES**

**LEGISLATION**

- Child and Family Services Act
- Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act
- Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act
- Personal Health Information Protection Act, 2004

**REGULATION**

- Child and Family Services Act, O. Reg. 206/00: Procedures, Practices and Standards of Service for Child Protection Cases

**STANDARDS**

- Child Protection Standards in Ontario

**GUIDANCE**

- FAQ #9: Information requested from a School or a School Board by the CAS
- Fact Sheet #7 Disclosure of Information Permitted in Emergency or other Urgent Circumstances
- Practice Tool for Exercising Discretion: Emergency Disclosure of Personal Information by Universities, Colleges and other Educational Institutions
APPENDIX – FIPPA, MFIPPA AND PHIPA DISCLOSURE PROVISIONS

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

42. (1) An institution shall not disclose personal information in its custody or under its control except,

(e) for the purpose of complying with an Act of the Legislature or an Act of Parliament or a treaty, agreement or arrangement thereunder;

MUNICIPAL FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

32. An institution shall not disclose personal information in its custody or under its control except,

(e) for the purpose of complying with an Act of the Legislature or an Act of Parliament, an agreement or arrangement under such an Act or a treaty;

PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION PROTECTION ACT, 2004

43. (1) A health information custodian may disclose personal health information about an individual,

(e) to the Public Guardian and Trustee, the Children’s Lawyer, a children’s aid society, a Residential Placement Advisory Committee established under subsection 34 (2) of the Child and Family Services Act or a designated custodian under section 162.1 of that Act so that they can carry out their statutory functions.